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Abstract

There is a major transformation in gene expression between mature B cells (including follicular, marginal zone, and germinal center cells) and antibody secreting cells (ASCs), i.e., ASCs, (including plasma blasts, splenic plasma cells, and long-lived bone marrow plasma cells). This significant change-over occurs to accommodate the massive amount of secretory-specific immunoglobulin that ASCs make and the export processes itself. It is well known that there is an up-regulation of a small number of ASC-specific transcription factors Prdm1 (B-lymphocyte-induced maturation protein 1), interferon regulatory factor 4, and Xbp1, and the reciprocal down-regulation of Pax5, Bcl6 and Bach2, which maintain the B cell program. Less well appreciated are the major alterations in transcription elongation and RNA processing occurring between B cells and ASCs. The three ELL family members ELL1, 2 and 3 have different protein sequences and potentially distinct cellular roles in transcription elongation. ELL1 is involved in DNA repair and small RNAs while ELL3 was previously described as either testis or stem-cell specific. After B cell stimulation to ASCs, ELL3 levels fall precipitously while ELL1 falls off slightly. ELL2 is induced at least 10-fold in ASCs relative to B cells. All of these changes cause the RNA Polymerase II in ASCs to acquire different properties, leading to differences in RNA processing and histone modifications.
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Core tip: B cell differentiation to antibody secreting cells is a highly regulated, complex process facilitated by factors such as interferon regulatory factor 4, Blimp-1,
OCA-B, Xbp1, and mammalian target of rapamycin. This results in a switch in immunoglobulin mRNA processing from the membrane-bound to the secretory-specific form, occurring when ELL2 releases RNAP-II pausing during transcription elongation and causes exon skipping and proximal poly(A) site choice.

INTRODUCTION

If a man does not keep pace with his companions, perhaps it is because he hears a different drummer. Let him step to the music which he hears....Henry David Thoreau.

B cells mature in the bone marrow, having undergone a series of DNA rearrangements to produce the uniquely rearranged immunoglobulin (Ig) molecules (H2L2) on their surfaces, the B cell receptor (BCR). Also on the B cell surfaces are: CD79 alpha and beta (OCA-B); meanwhile, ELL2, c-Fos, Prdm1 (Blimp-1) and Xbp1 are implied only in the ASC network[3]. Of these, only ELL2, Irf4 and Pou2af1 (OCA-B) have been shown to act directly on the Ig genes.

**Ir4 plays a central role in B cell to ASC differentiation**

Irf4, also known as Pip, is unique amongst many others within its class of Irfs. Irfs have important roles within the immune responses. Ir1 and Ir2 were the first Irfs to be recognized for their novel immunomodulation and hematopoietic effects. Studies of Irfs prompted later discovery of other members in this class. The class now includes Irf3, Irf4, Irf7 and Irf8[6,7]. Irf4 was discovered via analysis of the specific Ets-transcriptions factors it interacts with; Irf4 binds to PU.1, an Ets-transcription factor, and together they form a functional ternary activating complex[8].

B cells experience class-switching recombination along with particular changes to cellular Ig specific transcription factors due to Irf4 regulation. The formation and changes to the germinal center are most highly observed when centrocyte levels are decreased and Ig specific transcription factors become abundant. The progression from germinal center maintenance to progression from germinal center maintenance to death, ASC development and class switching is unknown, and the molecular basis of this heterogeneity is still a mystery[9]. The relationship between the long-lived cycling plasma-blasts and the long-lived ASCs also remains unclear. The long-lived ASCs have the highest B-lymphocyte-induced maturation protein 1 (Blimp-1) expression, which might then explain the decreased levels of c-myc and proliferation in them[9]. We addressed these issues more fully in a recent review[9].

Regardless of the source of the B cells (M2 or FO) the activation pathways to ASCs share a number of transcription factors that alter the expression pathways and pave the way to secretion of antibody. Several genes have been implicated in both the activated B cell transcriptional network and the ASC network, such as interferon regulatory factor 4 (Irf4) and Pou2af1 (OCA-B); meanwhile, ELL2, c-Fos, Prdm1 (Blimp-1) and Xbp1 are implicated only in the ASC network[9]. How individual activated B cells choose between division, death, ASC development and class switching is still a mystery[9]. The relationship between the short-lived cycling plasma-blasts and the long-lived ASCs also remains unclear. The long-lived ASCs have the highest B-lymphocyte-induced maturation protein 1 (Blimp-1) expression, which might then explain the decreased levels of c-myc and proliferation in them[9]. We addressed these issues more fully in a recent review[9]
When Blimp-1 is upregulated, the cell is directed to expression, which are products of Irf4 dependent gene transcription. Irf4 and Irf8, Ets-transcription factors are required to facilitate their DNA binding. Irf4 and Irf8 share similar Ets-transcription factor protein binding domains, and therefore the same Ets-transcription factors are used by both of them. Ets-transcription factors PU.1 and Spi-B have been shown to bind to specific DNA-binding motifs that then recruit Irf4 and Irf8[13,14]. PU.1 and Spi-B both promote binding to the 3' enhancers of IgL and IgA light chains. Since both Irf4 and Irf8 are recruited by these factors, there is competition between the two similar Irfs. The outcomes of the Irf competition are starkly different, since B cell to ASC transition will not occur with an abundance of Irf8[25,26].

Irf4 and Irf8 not only compete for the Ets-transcription factors, but also promote expression for repressors of the other's factors. In doing so, high levels of Irf8 would prompt decreased levels of Irf4, causing greater expression of Irf8-dependent genes. Irf8 dependent genes include Bcl6 and Pax5, which are high in B lymphocytes. Irf8-dependent genes repress Aicda and Blimp-1 expression, which are products of Irf4-dependent transcription[15]. With increased Irf4, the exact opposite occurs, where Irf4-dependent genes such as Aicda and Blimp-1 are expressed. This in turn represses Irf8-dependent gene transcription. Irf8 dependent gene Pax5 is repressed by Blimp-1, which is a negative transcription regulator in B lymphocytes. Presence of Blimp-1 represses Pax5 and c-myc. Repression of c-myc ceases cellular proliferation and causes an overall reduction of surface IgM[23]. The repression of Pax5 results in Xbp1 activation, which causes an increased production of unfolded protein response (UPR) components[17].

Irf4 has also been shown to drive Zbtb20 expression in B cells. Zbtb20, also known as Zfp288, DPZF and HOE, is a complex Bcl6 homologue that is a tramtrack, bric-à-brac, and zinc finger protein[18,19]. Ectopic expression of Zbtb20 induced terminal B cell differentiation to ACS. Along with promoting differentiation, Zbtb20 expression in plasma cells induces cell survival and blocks cell cycle progression. Zbtb20 is directly downstream and regulated by Irf4, and acts independently of Blimp-1[20].

**Blimp-1 is required for ASC differentiation**

Blimp-1 is encoded by the Prdm1 gene and plays a crucial role in the differentiation of B cells to ASCs, and thus the switch from expression of membrane bound antibody molecules to secreted antibody molecules[21]. The human homolog, PRD1-BF1, was discovered by Keller and Maniatis[22] by isolating a clone from a cDNA library encoding a protein that binds to the PRD1 site of the β-IFN promoter. Its ORF presents krüppel-like zinc finger DNA-binding motifs as well as proline and acidic regions resembling those of other known transcription factors, which indicates that Blimp-1 is a transcriptional regulator[23]. Blimp-1 mRNA expression is low in B cells and only present in late stages of differentiation[23]. Through Northern blots, it has been seen that Blimp-1 accumulation increases 5-fold in cells stimulated with IL-2 and IL-5. B cells transfected with Blimp-1 mature, although not all the way, to a point of exhibiting qualities of early ASCs[23]. It was further shown that upon knocking out Blimp-1, secretion of Ig was severely reduced or failed[24]. Regardless of the levels of Blimp-1, however, B cells will not differentiate in the absence of Xbp1[25], and B cells lacking Blimp-1 are unable to normally induce Xbp1 mRNA as well as unable to normally process the Xbp1 protein[26]. This shows that Blimp-1 acts upstream of Xbp1 in the development of ASCs[25]. B cells deficient in Blimp-1, transfected with Blimp-1 on a retrovirus, were able to secrete IgM, but Blimp-1-/- cells transfected with Xbp1 were not, proving that Xbp1 is not able on its own to drive differentiation if Blimp-1 is absent, thus indicating that Blimp-1 plays additional roles in plasmacytic differentiation[26].

Blimp-1 blocks transcription of a large set of genes[27]. C-myc is known to block terminal B cell differentiation[28]. Ectopically expressing Blimp-1 in pre-B cell lines represses c-myc promoter activity[29] and causes deacetylation of histone H3 associated with the c-myc promoter[30]. By analyzing DNA microarrays after inducible expression of Blimp-1, it was shown that Blimp-1 represses genes associated with progression of the cell cycle as well as synthesis and repair of DNA[27]. Blimp-1 was also shown to repress the gene expression program involved in B cell identity[27]. Blimp-1 represses Pax5[27], which is known to repress Xbp1[31]. This indicates that Blimp-1 induces expression of Xbp1 by repressing its repressor, Pax5[27]. It has also been shown that Blimp-1 represses the transcription elongation factor ELL3. When the ELL3 promoter was cloned, co-transfection with Prdm1 significantly repressed activity in B lymphocytes[32]. Blimp-1 was also seen to shut down Ig class switching by repressing expression of genes required in this process as well as inhibiting signals that serve to activate switch region Ig transcription[27].

Shaffer et al[27] showed that Blimp-1 participates in a negative feedback loop with BCR and Bcl6. BCR signaling restrains terminal differentiation of B cells by suppressing Blimp-1, while expression of Blimp-1 was reciprocally shown to block BCR signaling via the downregulation of its components[27]. Bcl6 is required for the differentiation of Germinal Center B cells[34].
The Downbeat: OCA-B starts the march to Ig secretion

OCA-B aids Ig expression.

OCA-B, aka Pou2af1, BOB.1, Bob-1, OBF-1, or OBF.1, a coactivator from B cells that increases Ig promoter transcription, was discovered by Luo et al.\(^\text{[40]}\) using the fractionation by ion-exchange chromatography of an oligonucleotide matrix-bound fraction. They isolated a novel B cell coactivator of Oct-1 and Oct-2. Binding of OCA-B to the octamer sequence of IgH is indirect and facilitated by Oct-1 and Oct-2 DNA binding\(^\text{[41]}\) as depicted in Figure 1. Oct-1 and Oct-2 contain POU domains, POU-1 and POU-2, respectively\(^\text{[42]}\). These POU domains are sufficient to mediate the interaction between Oct-1 and Oct-2 with OCA-B\(^\text{[41]}\). OCA-B has been shown to have no effect on the initial transcription of Ig genes, or play a role in the development of early B cells; however, mice deficient in OCA-B nonetheless exhibited impaired immune response\(^\text{[43]}\).

Blimp-1 also represses Bcl6, while overexpression of Bcl6 represses Blimp-1 and thus ASC differentiation\(^\text{[35]}\). This feedback loop provides very tight control over the decision of a B cell to become an ASC, for while Bcl6 is expressed in a GC B cell, expression of Blimp-1 and thus plasmacytic differentiation is blocked, but as soon as Blimp-1 is activated, Bcl6 is repressed and differentiation begins\(^\text{[27]}\). It was also shown that Irf4 deficient cells were unable to differentiate and lacked expression of Blimp-1, indicating that Irf-4 directly activates the expression of Blimp-1\(^\text{[36]}\). In addition to Irf4, c-Fos also influences Blimp-1 expression. A proto-oncogene, c-Fos is a transcriptional regulator that operates on DNA in an indirect fashion via its interaction with other transcriptional activators, such as c-Jun\(^\text{[27]}\). Ectopic expression of c-Fos with c-Jun induces Blimp-1 expression\(^\text{[38]}\). H2-c-Fos B cells, once stimulated with LPS, were found to proliferate at a higher level than normal B cells after LPS stimulation and induced enough Blimp-1 for terminal differentiation\(^\text{[39]}\).

Figure 1 Transcription of IgH with alternative enhancer interactions. Oct-1 and -2 bind to the octamer sequence; OCA-B binds to Oct-2 and with TF105 (purple oval), a TFID variant that is part of the basal transcription complex including TBP (yellow). Mediator (large teal complex) is a large complex of proteins that facilitates binding of RNAP-II to INR. Factors in Mediator like cdk8/cyclin C phosphorylate RNAP-II at ser-5 in the CTD consensus 7-mer for initiation. Then P-TEFb (cyclinT and cdk9, green oval) phosphorylates the ser-2 position of the CTD repeats of RNAP-II. Many genes have stalled polymerases awaiting the SEC, which contains ELL2 (purple hexagon) and P-TEFb\(^\text{[60]}\). Phosphorylation of the CTD by ser-5 and ser-2 is high near the IgH promoter\(^\text{[61]}\). The other members of the SEC are shown in gray. Differential transcription elongation occurs due to the potential interaction of the IgH enhancers. C\(_\gamma\) enhancer (annotated E\(_\gamma\)) interacts with promoter of IgH. A: In the first case, classical transcription of IgH can occur due to interaction between the promoter and enhancer, depicted by double arrow, without large-scale chromosomal looping; B: In the second case, along with promoter-enhancer interactions, C\(_\gamma\) enhancer has been hypothesized to interact with the 3' C\(_\alpha\) enhancer (3' E\(_\alpha\)), causing chromosomal looping. CTD: Carboxyl-terminal domain; SEC: Super elongation complex; TBP: TATA-binding protein; P-TEFb: Positive elongation factor b.
OCA-B increases the effectiveness of Oct-1 and Oct-2 activity on Ig promoters \[40\]. Ectopically expressing OCA-B stimulates transcription of an IgH promoter in a HeLa nuclear extract \[41\]. Oct-2 mutants with deletions in one of the two activation domains were generated and were shown to have a reduction of ability to stimulate an artificial octamer-dependent promoter \[41\].

Several observations surrounding the phenotypes of OCA-B \(-/-\) mice made by Kim et al \[41\] help reveal more information involving its function. Knockouts are able to produce the same levels of IgM mRNA and protein as the WT mice, as well as produce normal numbers of mature surface IgM/IgD \(-/-\) splenic B cells \[41\]. The cells had slightly reduced levels of proliferation following LPS stimulation, but the proliferative response to stimulation by anti-IgM crosslinking was greatly reduced - a response that was almost completely rescued to WT level when IL-4 was added \[41\]. These results suggest that B cell differentiation and expression of IgM is not affected by knocking out OCA-B, and LPS and IL-4 pathways are for the most part intact. But these mice produce reduced serum levels of secondary Ig isotypes; the numbers of surface Ig-expressing cells and IgG2b, IgG3, and IgG1 secreting cells are not different between the knockout and WT. The rates of secretion per cell, however, are much lower in the OCA-B \(-/-\) mice, suggesting that the knockouts are able to undergo the isotype-switching processes, but are incapable of efficiently expressing these switched Ig genes. Interestingly, knockout mice lack splenic germinal centers as well as germinal centers in lymph nodes \[41\]. There was also seen to be an increase in OCA-B expression in normal germinal center B cells \[41\].

Mice deficient in OCA-B also displayed a 2-4 fold decrease in splenic B cells, which suggests that it is required for splenic B cell maturation \[41\]. While there was a reduction in levels of mature B cells, cells of early differentiation stages remained unaffected \[41\]. OCA-B has been demonstrated to repress the development of the transitional Syndecan-1 \(-/-\) cell by decreasing the division-based rate of differentiation \[41\]. In later B cell development, OCA-B is required to promote differentiation into cells that exhibit high rates of Ig secretion \[41\]. This role of OCA-B in plasmacytic differentiation is in knockouts are able to undergo the isotype-switching of OCA-B not express Blimp-1 \[41\]. OCA-B stimulates transcription of an IgH promoter in a set of enhancers \[3’ IgH (alpha) enhancers, H5-1-4\] are found far downstream of the whole IgH gene cluster with long range effects for heavy chain class switching and V-D-J recombination \[51,52\]. Meanwhile, a set of enhancers \[3’ IgH (alpha) enhancers, H5-1-4\] are found far downstream of the whole IgH gene cluster with long range effects for heavy chain class switching and V-D-J recombination \[51,52\]. Our studies and those of others with transfected IgH genes showed full regulation of the secretory vs membrane alternative RNA processing choice with constructs that lacked the HS1-4 enhancers but retained the Emu/EH enhancer, see for example \[55-58\]. The Ig heavy chain Eh/Emu enhancer stimulates transcription from functional promoters in B lymphocytes \[53\] but not other cell types.

The Eh/Emu enhancer region most likely loops back to the promoter and communicates with it as shown in Figure 1; it contains binding sites for the indicated transcription factors. It appears that for squelching of lymphocyte-specific transcription in non-lymphoid cells, the binding of the repressive nuclear factor-\(\mu\)NR to the IgH enhancer prevents nuclear matrix attachment by interfering with the positively acting matrix attachment region proteins such as MAR-BP1, which drive transcription in B cells \[59\]. IgH 3’ enhancer-bound OCA-B and promoter-bound TFIID mediate promoter-enhancer interactions, in both cis and trans, that are important for IgH transcription. This suggests an important function for OCA-B in IgH 3’ enhancer function in vivo that may be important for high levels of secretory-specific mRNA production \[60\].

**The Upbeat: Elongation Sets the Tempo of the March for Ig Secretion**

Antibody molecules are first expressed on the surface of maturing B cells as membrane spanning receptors for immunogens and are known as the B cell receptor or BCR. Engagement of the BCR by cognate antigen on a mature B cell leads to activation of transcription and cell growth as described above. After the activation process, the pre-mRNA transcribed from the rearranged Ig heavy chain gene is alternatively processed to produce the secretory-specific form of IgH mRNA, reviewed in \[61\]. Not only is there a shift to use of the proximal poly(A) site but also a large increase in the overall amount of mRNA, with a less than 2-fold increase in RNAP-I loading on the gene \[61\]. This indicates that RNA processing increases the quality and the quantity of the mRNA made from the IgH locus. The transition in IgH mRNA processing serves as a hallmark for the differentiation of the B cell to a plasma blast or an ASC and is a harbinger of major changes in cellular architecture and transcription allowing the Ig protein to be secreted \[62\]. The RNA polymerase in
Smith SM et al. Transcription in antibody secreting cells

Figure 2 Components of the super elongation complex. ELL2 is part of the super elongation complex important for releasing RNA polymerase II from pausing. Cdk9 phosphorylates the ser-2 of the heptad repeats in the carboxy-terminal domain of RNAP-II, negative elongation factor negative elongation factor, and DRB sensitive factor the DRB-sensitive factor, which becomes activated. ELL2: Eleven lysine rich leukemia protein 2; Cdk9: Cyclin dependent kinase 9.

the ASC is traveling to the beat of drum different from that in a B cell. Transcription elongation sets the tempo and acts as the drum major.

ELL factors equip RNAP-II for elongation

All three members of the ELL gene family, 1, 2, 3, are involved in transcription elongation in the super elongation complex, SEC, see Figure 2. ELL1 was cloned from multiple lineage leukemia cells when its COOH terminal half was found to be a fusion partner with MLL, a histone H3 K4 methylase[65]. Based on the available literature, ELL1 may play its biggest role in DNA repair and small RNA synthesis[64,65]. Other family members were cloned because of their homology to ELL1. ELL3, at 397 amino acids long, differs in sequence from ELL1 and ELL2 (602 and 633 amino acids respectively) and lacks the central disordered region depicted in Figure 3, but retains the majority of the NH2-terminal productive elongation domain and the occludin homology/p53 interacting domain. Both ELL1 and ELL3 have been shown to sequester p53 and abrogate its activities[66,67]. ELL2 was not tested for this activity. ELL3 was first described as testis specific[68], but subsequently it was shown to play a role in the epithelial-mesenchymal transition[69] and to mark enhancers in ES cells, priming for future gene activation[70]. ELL2 replaces ELL3, which predominates in embryonic cells[69] and B cells; ELL3 levels are diminished after stimulation to ASC differentiation even in ELL2 conditional knockouts[62] see Figure 4. Its role in B cells is as yet undefined.

ELL1 was unable to substitute for ELL2 in driving proximal poly(A) site choice in the Igh locus[71]. ELL1 and 2 differ primarily in the sequence of the disordered region starting at amino acid 292 in Figure 3. Thus each ELL can be expected to have unique interactions and functions in transcription elongation based on its unique sequences. For example, Mediator subunit 26 drives the association of ELL1 with snRNA gene promoters[72]. Using yeast two-hybrid assays, we have shown that conserved portions of the central disordered, proline-rich regions of ELL1 and 2 bind specific proteins[73]; the absence of this region in ELL3 dictates that it will have different associations.

We have shown that ELL2 modifies the RNA polymerases in ASCs[10,61,71], this causes RNAP-II to traverse the genes in a manner that is unlike that in a B cell and hence the RNAP-II’s in ASCs “travel to the beat of a different drum”. ELL2 has important and now well established roles in releasing paused RNAP-II in Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) infection and in multiple myeloma[6,70]. There is a > 6-fold rise in the level of ELL2 ASCs (see Figure 4)[10,62,71,73,75], mediated by the Irf4 transcription factor[76-78]. There is also a increase in ELL2 mediated by Blimp-1 expression[76]. We showed that ELL2 drives alternative RNA processing [exon skipping and first poly(A) site choice] to influence the expression of the secretory-specific form of Igh mRNA at the expense of the membrane form[10] diagrammed in Figure 5A. This occurs because more mature mRNA results from every pass of the RNAP-II; processivity is increased by ELL2. Studies of the ELL2 promoter (~1142 to + 154) show Irf4 and NF-kB p65 responsive sites[62], cyclic AMP response elements, and binding sites for the viral onco-protein Tax made in HTLV infection[79]. In the SEC, ELL2 associates with the positive transcription factor P-TEFb, AFF4, and other proteins found in fusions with MLL in cancer that facilitate H3K4 methylation[80], see Figure 2.

In the case of model ASCs vs B cells, more ELL2 and P-TEFb are recruited to the RNAP-II on the identical Igh gene; there is a correspondingly higher level of ser-2 phosphorylation of the carboxyl-terminal domain (CTD) of RNAP-II nearer the promoter[61,71]. The scope of modifications of the histones on the Igh gene is different from that seen in B cells and ASCs. We saw more H3K79 di- and tri-methylation as well as H3K4 methylation ‘3’ of the internal heavy chain enhancer in ASCs, which is indicative of a more open chromatin configuration[71] (see Figure 5). H3K79 methylation has also been linked to alterations in splicing[81]. All of these changes in chromatin would favor use of proximal poly(A) sites, like that of the secretory Igh poly(A) site and skipping of the splice sites that would be necessary for the production of the Igh membrane-encoding form of Igh. Ironically, an elongation factor causes the production of a shorter Igh mRNA.

Our B-cell specific ELL2 conditional knockout mice (ell2lox/plox CD19cre/+aka ELL2cKO)[62] exhibit normal numbers of splenic B cells but curtailed primary and secondary humoral responses both in NP-ficoll and NP-KLH immunized animals. In ELL2 cKO mice relative to ELL2+/-/+ animals: CD138+/ B220 lo ASCs in spleen were reduced; there were fewer IgG1+ antibody producing cells in the bone marrow (i.e., long-
lived plasma cells); splenic B cells stimulated by LPS ex vivo were ¼ as likely to produce B220loCD138+ cells (ASCs) than from control splenic B-cells. The “pseudo ASCs” that arise in the ELL2 cKO have a paucity of secreted Igh, and distended, abnormal appearing ER by electron microscopy. The amounts of Ig kappa, activating transcription factor 6 (Atf6), BCMA (Tnfrsf1), BiP, Cyclin B2, OCA-B, and Xbp1 mRNAs, unspliced and spliced, are severely reduced in the ELL2 cKOs [62]. Thus we showed that ELL2 is essential for antibody synthesis and export.

The complex expression pattern of the three ELL family members in B cells and ASCs both in ELL2+/+ and the ELL2−/− conditional knockouts is shown in Figure 4. The knockout of ELL2 influences its own and ELL1 mRNAs but not that of ELL3, which declines following LPS stimulation to ASCs regardless of the presence of ELL2[62].

**The super elongation complex acts at active genes**

A combination of genome-wide high-throughput sequencing methods and drug treatments that inhibit P-TEFb have suggested that P-TEFb-driven release of paused RNAPII from promoter-proximal regions to begin productive elongation is a widespread and necessary step in transcription. Studies have shown that inhibition of P-TEFb, and by extension SEC, that prevents RNAPII release, blocks almost all transcription[9,20,30]. Thus, all active genes experience a potentially rate-limiting pausing step in the transcription cycle and require SEC activity for gene body transcription. However, this pause step causes a significant accumulation of promoter-
proximally paused RNAP-Ⅱ only at a subset of active genes in untreated cells (40%-70%, depending on the method and cell type)\(^{3,9,29,31-33}\). Presumably SEC activity is simply not limiting on the remainder of genes that do not show accumulation of paused RNAP-Ⅱ. This finding indicates that a pausing- and SEC-dependent release step could become a rate-limiting and potentially regulatory step at all active genes\(^{[82]}\).

Transcription and RNA processing are controlled in cells by the cooperating processes of modifications to the CTD of RNAP-Ⅱ, addition of elongation and RNA processing factors to the RNAP-Ⅱ complex, and by chromatin modifications\(^{[83]}\). Negative elongation factor (NELF) and DRB sensitive factor (DSIF) are recruited to an RNAP-Ⅱ when it pauses just after initiation of transcription. DSIF is composed of the highly conserved Spt4 and Spt5 subunits, which have been shown to have unique parts to play at different phases of Ig class switch recombination\(^{[84]}\) and in germinal center B cells\(^{[85]}\). NELF is found only in paused metazoan, not yeast transcription complexes\(^{[86]}\). Recruitment to the paused RNAP-Ⅱ of P-TEFb, composed of cyclin T and cdk9, and its associated factors like ELL into a super elongation complex\(^{[87]}\), results in phosphorylation of DSIF and the
ser-2 of the carboxyl-terminal end of RNAP-II. NELF is also phosphorylated by P-TEFb, releasing it from the now elongation-competent RNAP-II complex. It is clear from studies with HIV tat and tar that recruitment of P-TEFb with ELL2 also facilitates interactions of the five-subunit polymerase associated factor (paf) with RNAP-II; paf then recruits the polyadenylation factors\(^\text{[86]}\). This would favor promoter proximal polyadenylation. Studies using RNAP-II mutants or drugs to slow elongation show that reduced transcription rates are coupled with alternative exon inclusion while speeding up the polymerase causes exon skipping\(^\text{[89]}\). In addition, transcription factors have been shown to control the use of alternative exons and control splicing patterns, presumably by differentially setting up the RNAP-II complex or its elongation rate\(^\text{[90]}\).

**Effects of altered RNAP-II on other genes**

Using deep mRNA sequencing, the knockdown of ELL2 by siRNA in a plasma cell line was shown to influence several other genes besides Igh secretory specific mRNA processing, namely several splicing factors, cyclin B2 (Ccnb2), and the B cell maturation antigen (Tnfrsf17) aka BCMA. Long term survival of plasma cells is impaired by the lack of BCMA in a knockout mouse\(^\text{[91]}\). But loss of BCMA alone in +/- mice does not alter humoral responses (T-independent or T-dependent) nor the formation of short-lived plasma cells, yet loss of ELL2 in mice does\(^\text{[92]}\). Benson \textit{et al}\(^\text{[93]}\) saw changes in splicing in a number of genes involved in mRNA processing; this would have had other far reaching secondary effects beyond that of ELL2 on transcription. Disassociating the direct vs indirect effects of ELL2 is key to understanding its role in changing the RNAP-II and RNA processing patterns on a given gene in ASCs. In the ELL2 conditional knockout mice we saw not only reduced Igh processing to the secretory-specific form, but also deficiency in light chain mRNA synthesis and decreased expression of \textit{UPR} genes, especially in Xbp1. We also saw changes in the splicing of some ELL2 target genes as illustrated in the Sashimi plot shown in Figure 6 for Xaf1, a gene involved in apoptosis of cells\(^\text{[93]}\).

Elongation factors like ELL2 not only change RNA processing patterns but they also increase the processesivity of RNAP-II\(^\text{[94]}\). As a consequence, they can cause higher production of mature mRNA from a precursor and boost mRNA yields without increasing RNAP-II loading. For example, using a cyclin B2 promoter, addition of ELL2 cDNA had a greater than 7-fold enhancement in the luciferase reporter systems relative to a Blimp-1 promoter\(^\text{[62]}\). It is also worth noting that many of the genes in primary B cells affected by the loss of ELL2 after stimulation to ASCs are genes expressed at high mRNA abundance (Atf6, Bif, Igk, IgL, Pou2af1, Xbp1), genes for which efficient pre-mRNA to mature mRNA processing would be important. Interestingly, in the ELL2 knockout, there was a 5-fold decrease in the expression of Pou2af1 (OBF-1/BOB-1/OC-A-B) mRNA, a putative downstream target of Xbp1\(^\text{[95]}\). We also saw that ELL2 could enhance luciferase yields from a promoter carrying the UPR elements, so the effect on Pou2af1 could be direct as well as indirect through decreased Xbp1.

**THE UPR: SUPPLYING THE BACK BEAT FOR IG SECRETION**

The increase of ELL2 in ASCs drives alternative RNA processing and leads to an increase in secretory Igh mRNA\(^\text{[10]}\). The substantial amount of Ig chains being produced must first be processed efficiently into antibodies by the ER. Differentiating B cells adapt to the added stress of processing the increased amount of antibody by inducing the UPR, a signaling cascade prompted by ER stress that upregulates ER chaperone and folding enzymes expression (reviewed in\(^\text{[96]}\)). Even after initiation of terminal B cell differentiation and efficient elongation take place, regulators of the UPR and alleviators of ER stress are needed to ensure that successful ASC development occurs.

**Xbp1 regulates UPR for ASC differentiation**

In mature ASCs, the ER response is unique from that seen in other cells\(^\text{[97]}\). The UPR in many cells typically has three arms, the Ire1/Xbp1 pathway, an Atf6 pathway, and the PERK pathway\(^\text{[98]}\). But PERK knockout mice secrete normal amounts of Ig, while PERK protein expression is not changed significantly between B cells and ASCs\(^\text{[99,100]}\). In addition, Atf6 is not necessary for the development of ASCs; thus when B cells are stimulated to secrete antibody, the primary pathway for ER remodeling appears to reside in the Ire1 to Xbp1 pathway\(^\text{[101]}\).

Aggregation and then auto phosphorylation of Ire1 causes it to acquire the ability to specifically cleave and then splice Xbp1 mRNA; the newly spliced Xbp1 RNA species encodes a novel Xbp1 protein with transcriptional activity on its own promoter and other UPR promoters containing the UPR element UPRE\(^\text{[99]}\). In an Xbp1 conditional deletion, the mice show defects in ASC development\(^\text{[25]}\) and low levels of secretory Ig\(^\text{[102]}\). But it has been argued that the consequences of Xbp1 deletion alone are relatively mild\(^\text{[103]}\). ASCs are
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present in normal frequencies in resting and immunized animals, and Ig secretion is reduced but not eliminated in conditional Xbp1 knockouts. Thus the gene regulatory program controlling ASC differentiation may proceed relatively normally in the absence of Xbp1\(^{103}\).

On further analysis, the low levels of IgM mRNA in Xbp1\(^{-/-}\) mice result from the 8-fold increased levels of Ire1-P over control; the highly abundant Ire1-P cleaves the IgM secretory mRNA\(^{104}\). This is a process similar to the previously described pathway\(^{105}\) in which Ire1-P can act to cleave its own mRNA, as well as other RNAs in a process called regulated IRE1-dependent decay\(^{106}\). Only Xbp1 mRNA is spliced, not cleaved, by Ire1-P to form a new functional RNA\(^{107}\). A double deletion of Xbp1 and Ire1 restores IgM secretion by inhibiting Ig mRNA degradation\(^{104}\). Mutations in the Ire1 nuclease function cause only a 2-fold reduction in Ig secretion\(^{108}\). Taken together, this leads to a conclusion that some Ig secretion can occur without the unusual cleavage and splicing of Xbp1 and there may be other proteins that allow for the upregulation of the UPR besides the spliced mRNA encoded Xbp1. As we discussed above, ELL2 has a role in enhancing the transcription of other UPR proteins through the UPR element\(^{62}\) thereby linking production of the IgM secretory mRNA and the build-up of the UPR. Activation of the mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) pathway can also bypass Xbp1 for Ig secretion\(^ {109}\).

**mTOR bypasses Xbp1 for ASC differentiation and Ig secretion**

mTOR is a vital serine/threonine kinase with two known subunit complexes, mTORC1 and mTORC2\(^{110}\). Much of the known function of mTOR, primarily in complex one, shows major roles in cellular proliferation\(^ {111}\) and Ig secretion\(^ {112}\). The main function of mTORC1 is to recognize nutrient levels and mitogenic signals, and with these trigger cellular growth and proliferation. mTORC2 differs as it is nutrient independent and is activated by growth factors\(^ {113}\). Within its pathway is the tuber sclerosis complex (TSC), which is an inhibitory complex of mTOR. TSC presents itself in two forms, TSC1 and TSC2. The two forms come together as a heterodimeric complex\(^ {114}\). Akt, a protein kinase, is responsible for phosphorylation of TSC2 and is activated after LPS-stimulation\(^ {115}\). The release of TSC complex inhibition induces mTOR via BCR stimulation. The reversion of TSC1 inhibition of mTORC1 is responsible for protein synthesis in LPS-activated B cells, which is coupled with substantial ER stress\(^ {116}\). ER stress can activate the UPR, restoring ER stability, or possibly lead to autophagy or apoptosis\(^ {117}\). During B cell differentiation to ASC, ER remodeling is substantial and exclusively facilitated by the Xbp1 UPR pathway. Skipping of the Xbp1 pathway has been shown to allow B cells to viably differentiate into long-lived ASCs that only secrete small amounts of IgG\(^ {103}\). It was shown that the ER morphology was highly compromised in the Xbp1 knockout after ASC transition. The successful transition, even with compromised ER morphology, from B cell to ASC was shown to be due to the positive regulation of mTOR\(^ {109}\).

Looking directly at mTOR, inhibition of mTORC1 in mice induces macro-autophagy\(^ {118}\). When activated, mTORC1 promotes cell growth and protein synthesis. Along with mTORC1, TSC1 ablation ex vivo resulted in cell death of developing ASCs\(^ {116}\). The production of a TSC1 KO, Xbp1 KO and TSC1/Xbp1 DKO has allowed for complete analysis of mTORC1 outcomes independent of parallel pathways. TSC1 KO promoted ASC differentiation with increased mTOR activity along with an unexpected increase in Ire1-P. Pertaining to the antibody secretion of the ASCs, the expected reduction of IgM and IgG1 levels in the KO and DKO was observed. A marked increase of IgA titers in the serum of the DKO was also observed. This correlates with the novel finding that mTOR activation can bypass Xbp1 for antibody secretion. To assess the effect of TSC1 KO on the ER, the DKO and the Xbp1 KO were compared after LPS stimulation. It was clear that the double knockout had a less compromised ER, suggesting that mTOR activation and its directed UPR play a crucial role in ER maintenance and remodeling\(^ {109}\).

**CONCLUSION**

The modification of RNAP-II elongation by ELL2 in ASCs is dramatic with far-reaching consequences. It is important to further study the direct effects that this modification is having on transcription of IgH and on expression of other ASC genes. What else occurs as a result of RNA polymerases traveling to the beat of a different drum? The significance of understanding these systems lies in the foundation of the correct production and processing of antibodies, a vital part of immune response. Further study will allow for an expanded breadth of understanding concerning this complex system as well as great advances in diagnosis and therapy for autoimmunity and immune-deficiency diseases.
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